arXiv:1601.04642v1 [math.CO] 18 Jan 2016

GENERALISED MYCIELSKI GRAPHS AND BOUNDS ON CHROMATIC NUMBERS

GORD SIMONS, CLAUDE TARDIF, DAVID WEHLAU

Abstract. We prove that the coindex of the box complex $\mathrm{B}(H)$ of a graph H can be measured by the generalised Mycielski graphs which admit a homomorphism to it. As a consequence, we exhibit for every graph H a system of linear equations solvable in polynomial time, with the following properties: If the system has no solutions, then $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H))+2 \leq 3$; if the system has solutions, then $\chi(H) \geq 4$. We generalise the method to other bounds on chromatic numbers using linear algebra.

Keywords: Graph colourings, homomorphisms, Box complexes, generalised Mycielski graphs
AMS 2010 Subject Classification: 05C15.

1. Introduction

For any integer $k \geq 2$ and real number $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, the *Borsuk graph* $B_{k,\epsilon}$ is the graph whose vertices are the points of the $(k-2)$-sphere $S_{k-2} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{k-1}$, and whose edges join pairs of points X, Y that are "almost antipodal" in the sense that the norm of $X - Y$ is at least $2 - \epsilon$. In [5], Erdős and Hajnal used the Borsuk-Ulam theorem to prove that the chromatic number of $B_{k,\epsilon}$ is k. In fact, they proved that the statement $\chi(B_{k,\epsilon}) = k$ is equivalent to the Borsuk-Ulam theorem. For some years this result remained a curiosity involving infinite graphs. Then Lovász [7] devised complexes that allow the use of the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem to find lower bounds on chromatic numbers of finite graphs, and used this method to prove the Kneser conjecture on the chromatic number of the Kneser graphs.

Lovász' method inspired many adaptations and developments, giving rise to the field of "topological lower bounds" on the chromatic number of a graph. Our work is inspired by a bound in terms of "coindices of box complexes", specifically

$$\chi(H) \geq \mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2.$$

The relevant definitions of the coindex $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H))$ of the box complex $\mathrm{B}(H)$ of H are well detailed in [8, 9, 10]. However, our intent is to avoid the topological setting. We will use the following result of Simonyi and Tardos.

Theorem 1 ([10])**.** *For any graph H, $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2$ is the largest k such that there exist $\epsilon > 0$ for which $B_{k,\epsilon}$ admits a homomorphism (that is, an edge-preserving map) to H.*

This result indeed allows us to restrict our discussion to the field of graphs and homomorphisms: We can alternatively define $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2$ *as* the largest k such that there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ for which $B_{k,\epsilon}$ admits a homomorphism to H.

the third author's research is supported by grants from NSERC and ARP.

This viewpoint yields an economy of definitions, but is not necessarily practical for computational purposes. Indeed in many cases a knowledge of simplicial complexes and topological tricks is needed to compute $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2$ and effectively bound $\chi(H)$.

Dochtermann and Schultz [4] found finite ("spherical") graphs that play the role of the Borsuk graphs in Theorem 1. In this note we show that the generalised Mycielski graphs can also be used in the the same role. We do not know whether this alternative presentation yields effective computations in the general case. However, for low values of $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H))$, our definition indeed leads to practical calculations that can be shown to be conclusive in some cases. The method, in turn, inspires effectively computable lower bounds on the chromatic number of a graph.

2. Generalised Mycielski graphs

We will use the following definitions of categorical products, looped paths, cones and generalised Mycielski graphs. The *categorical product* of two graphs G and G' is the graph $G \times G'$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} V(G \times G') &= V(G) \times V(G'), \\ E(G \times G') &= \{[(u,u'),(v,v')] : [u,v] \in E(G) \text{ and } [u',v'] \in E(G')\}. \end{aligned}$$

We sometimes use directed graphs as factors, and view undirected graphs as symmetric directed graphs. In this case, all square brackets representing edges in the above definition should be replaced by parentheses representing arcs. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the *looped path* $\mathbb{P}_n$ is the path with vertices $0, 1, \ldots, n$ linked consecutively, with a loop at 0. For a graph G, the *n-th cone* (or *n-th generalised Mycielskian*) $M_n(G)$ over G is the graph

$$(G \times \mathbb{P}_n)/\sim_n,$$

where $\sim_n$ is the equivalence which identifies all vertices whose second coordinate is n. The classes $\mathcal{K}_k$ of *generalised Mycielski graphs* are defined recursively as follows: $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{K_2\}$, and for $k \geq 3$,

$$\mathcal{K}_k = \{M_n(G) : G \in \mathcal{K}_{k-1}, n \in \mathbb{N}^*\}.$$

Csorba [2, 3] proved that for any graph H and integer n, the geometric realisation of $\mathrm{B}(M_n(H))$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homotopy equivalent to the geometric realisation of the suspension of $\mathrm{B}(H)$. In particular this implies that for every $G \in \mathcal{K}_k$ we have

$$\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(G)) + 2 = \chi(G) = k.$$

(Recall that $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(G))$ is defined in [8, 9, 10] and characterised implicitly by Theorem 1 above.)

Lemma 2. *For every Borsuk graph $B_{k,\epsilon}$, there exists a graph G in $\mathcal{K}_k$ such that G admits a homomorphism to $B_{k,\epsilon}$.*

Proof. $\mathcal{K}_2 = \{K_2\}$, and $B_{2,\epsilon} = K_2$ for every $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that $G \in \mathcal{K}_{k-1}$ admits a homomorphism to $B_{k-1,\epsilon/2}$. Put $n = \lceil \pi/\epsilon \rceil$. We will show that $M_n(G)$ admits a homomorphism to $B_{k,\epsilon}$ We identify the vertex set of $B_{k-1,\epsilon/2}$ with the equator of $B_{k,\epsilon}$. Hence $[u,v] \in E(B_{k-1,\epsilon/2})$ implies $[u,v] \in E(B_{k,\epsilon})$. Let p_N, p_S respectively be the north and south poles of $B_{k,\epsilon}$. Let $\phi : G \to B_{k-1,\epsilon/2}$ be a homomorphism. For every $u \in V(G)$, let $\phi(u) = u_{N,0}, u_{N,1}, \ldots, u_{N,n} = p_N$ be equally spaced points on the quarter of the great circle joining $\phi(u)$ and p_N.

Similarly let $\phi(u) = u_{S,0}, u_{S,1}, \ldots, u_{S,n} = P_S$ be equally spaced points on the quarter of the great circle joining $\phi(u)$ and P_S. Define $\psi : M_m(G) \to B_{k,\epsilon}$ by

$$\psi(u,i) = \begin{cases} u_{N,i} \text{ if } i \text{ is even,} \\ u_{S,i} \text{ if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\psi(u,n) = p_N$ or p_S according to whether n is even or odd, hence ψ is well defined. Also, ψ extends ϕ. For $[u,v] \in E(G)$ and $i < m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||\psi(u,i) + \psi(v,i+1)|| &= ||u_{N,i} + v_{S,i+1}|| = ||u_{N,0} + v_{S,1}|| \\ &\geq ||u_{N,0} + v_{S,0}|| - ||v_{S,0} - v_{S,1}|| > 2 - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore ψ is a homomorphism.

■

Corollary 3. *For any graph H, $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2$ is the largest k such that there exists a G in $\mathcal{K}_k$ admitting a homomorphism to H.*

Proof. Let $k = \mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2$. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 2, there exist a number $\epsilon > 0$ and a graph $G \in \mathcal{K}_k$ such that there are homomorphisms of $B_{k,\epsilon}$ to H and of G to $B_{k,\epsilon}$. The composition of these is a homomorphism of G to H. On the other hand, it is well known that if G admits a homomorphism to H, then $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(G)) \leq \mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H))$.

■

The usefulness of the bound $\chi(H) \geq \mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2$ derives from the fact that the chromatic number is hard to compute, hence lower bounds are useful. However for a finite graph H, $\chi(H)$ can at least be determined by a finite computation, while $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H))$ is not known to be computable.

The class $\mathcal{K}_3$ consists of the odd cycles. Therefore the problem of determining whether an input graph H satisfies $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \leq 2$ is equivalent to that of determining whether H is bipartite, which admits an efficient solution. In the remainder of the paper, we focus on the implication

$$\chi(H) \leq 3 \Rightarrow \mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \leq 3.$$

We present an approach derived from Corollary 3.

3. Signatures of odd cycles

We begin by reinterpreting homomorphisms of cones in terms of paths in exponential graphs. We first present the basic properties of exponential graphs which can be found in standard references, e.g. [6]. For two graphs G and H, the *exponential graph* H^G has for vertices all functions $f : V(G) \to V(H)$, and for edges all pairs $[f,g]$ of functions such that for every $[u,v] \in E(G)$, $[f(u), g(v)] \in E(H)$. In particular f is a homomorphism of G to H if and only if f is a loop in H^G. A homomorphism of $G \times G'$ to H corresponds to a homomorphism of G' to H^G. In particular, with $G' = \mathbb{P}_m$, we have the following.

Remark 4. *A homomorphism of $M_m(G)$ to H corresponds to an m-path in H^G from a loop to a constant map.*

We will suppose that H is connected, thus all the constant maps are in the same connected component of H^G, which we call the *connected component of the constants*. Suppose that H satisfies $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \geq 4$. Then by Corollary 3,

there exists an odd cycle C such that for some integer m, $M_m(C) \in \mathcal{K}_4$ admits a homomorphism to H. By the above remark, this is equivalent to the existence of a loop in the connected component of the constants in H^C. Since H^C is finite, such a loop could be found in finite time. Thus for a fixed C, the question of the existence of a m such that $M_m(C)$ admits a homomorphism to H is decidable. However to settle the question as to whether $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \geq 4$, we need to look at the infinitely many possible choices for C, so the search is still infinite.

Our next step is to look for easily computable invariants that are constant on the components of H^C. For a graph H, let $A(H)$ denote the set of its arcs. That is, for $[u, v] \in E(H)$, $A(H)$ contains the two arcs (u, v) and (v, u). Let $\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))$ be the free group generated by the elements of $A(H)$. Let C be an odd cycle with vertex-set $\mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} = \{0, \ldots, 2n\}$. The elements of H^C are not necessarily homomorphisms of C to H. However, if (f, g) is an arc of H^C, then $f(0), g(1), f(2), \ldots, g(2n)$ is a closed walk of length $4n + 2$ in H. We define the $\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))$-*signature* $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f, g)$ of (f, g) as

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f, g) = \Pi_{i=0}^{2n}(f(2i), g(2i + 1)) \cdot (f(2i + 2), g(2i + 1))^{-1}.$$

The indices are of course taken modulo $2n + 1$. Also since the product is non-commutative, it is necessary to specify that the product is developed left to right: $\Pi_{i=0}^{k} x_i = x_0 x_1 \cdots x_k$ rather than $x_k x_{k-1} \cdots x_0$. Thus $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f, g)$ is essentially a list of the arcs in the closed walk generated by f and g, with trivial simplifications.

We define a congruence θ^* on $\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))$ as follows: If u, v, w, x is a 4-cycle of H, we put

$$(u, v) \cdot (w, v)^{-1} \quad \theta^* \quad (u, x) \cdot (w, x)^{-1},$$

that is,

$$(u, v) \cdot (w, v)^{-1} \cdot (w, x) \cdot (u, x)^{-1} \quad \theta^* \quad 1_{\mathcal{F}(A(H))}.$$

The group $\mathcal{G}^*(H)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}^*(H) := \mathcal{F}^*(A(H))/\theta^*.$$

Now if (f, g) and (f, g') are two arcs of H^C, then the corresponding terms in the product defining their signatures are congruent:

$$(f(2i), g(2i+1)) \cdot (f(2i+2), g(2i+1))^{-1} \theta^* (f(2i), g'(2i+1)) \cdot (f(2i+2), g'(2i+1))^{-1}$$

for $i = 0, \ldots, 2n$. Therefore $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f, g)/\theta^* = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f, g')/\theta^*$. We define the $\mathcal{G}^*(H)$-*signature* $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f)$ of a non-isolated vertex f in H^C by

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f) = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f, g)/\theta^*$$

for any neighbour g of f.

Proposition 5. *Let C be an odd cycle and $f : C \to H$ a homomorphism. If f belongs to the connected component of the constants in H^C, then*

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f) = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}.$$

Proof. If f is a constant map in H^C, then for any neighbour g of f we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f, g) &= \Pi_{i=0}^{2n}(f(2i), g(2i + 1)) \cdot (f(2i + 2), g(2i + 1))^{-1} \\ &= \Pi_{i=0}^{2n}(f(0), g(2i + 1)) \cdot (f(0), g(2i + 1))^{-1} = 1_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f) = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$.

To extend the argument by connectivity, we will use a natural automorphism of $\mathcal{G}^*(H)$. Define $\alpha_0^* : A(H) \to \mathcal{F}^*(A(H))$ by $\alpha_0^*(u, v) = (v, u)^{-1}$. Then α_0^*

extends to an order 2 automorphism α^* of $\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))$. For every arc (f,g) of H^C, the product defining $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(g,f)$ uses the term $\alpha^*(e)$ for every term e used in the product defining $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f,g)$. More precisely $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(g,f) = \alpha^*(W')\alpha^*(W)$, where W is the product of the $2n+1$ first terms in $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f,g)$ and W' is the product of the $2n+1$ last terms. In particular, $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(g,f)$ is a conjugate of $\alpha^*(\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f,g)) = \alpha^*(W)\alpha^*(W')$. Now for a generator $y = (u,v)\cdot(w,v)^{-1}\cdot(w,x)\cdot(u,x)^{-1}$ of $1_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}/\theta^*$, $\alpha(y)$ is a conjugate of the generator $(x,u)\cdot(v,u)^{-1}\cdot(w,v)\cdot(w,x)^{-1}$ of $1_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}/\theta^*$. Hence $1_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}/\theta^*$ is invariant under α^*, whence α^* induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{G}^*(H)$, which we also call α^*.

Thus if f is any element of H^C such that $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f) = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$, then for any neighbour g of f, $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(g) = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(g,f)/\theta^*$ is a conjugate of $\alpha^*(\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f,g)/\theta^*) = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$. Therefore $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(g) = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$. By connectivity, this implies that $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f)$ is identically $1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$ on the connected component of the constants in H^C.

■

The following example shows that the converse of Proposition 5 does not hold in general. Consider the graph H in Figure 1. Let $f : C_3 \to H$ be the homomorphism



FIGURE 1. Witness to the fallacy of the converse of Proposition 5.

defined by $f(0) = A$, $f(1) = C$ and $f(2) = B$. Any neighbour g of f is in the set S defined by

$$S = \{g \in H^{K_3} : g(0) \in \{A, 0''\}, g(1) \in \{C, 3''\}, g(2) \in \{B, 6''\}\}.$$

It is easy to check that any neighbour of an element of S is again in S. Thus f is not in the connected component of the constants in H^{C_3}. We will show that $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f) = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$.

Let C_9 be the 9-cycle with vertex-set $\mathbb{Z}_9$. Let $h_0, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 : \mathbb{Z}_9 \to V(H)$ be defined as follows: $h_0(i) = U$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_9$, h_1, h_2, h_3 are defined by $h_1(i) = i$, $h_2(i) = i'$, $h_3(i) = i''$, and h_4 is defined by

$$(h_4(0), h_4(1), \ldots, h_4(8)) = (A, C, A, C, B, C, B, A, B).$$

Since h_0 is a constant and h_0, h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 is a path in H^{C_9}, we have $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(h_4) = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$. Note that h_4 is a homomorphism, hence $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(h_4) = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(h_4, h_4)/\theta^*$. By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(h_4, h_4) &= (A,C)\cdot(A,C)^{-1}\cdot(A,C)\cdot(B,C)^{-1}\cdot(B,C)\cdot(B,C)^{-1}\\ &\quad\cdot(B,A)\cdot(B,A)^{-1}\cdot(B,A)\cdot(C,A)^{-1}\cdot(C,A)\cdot(C,A)^{-1}\\ &\quad\cdot(C,B)\cdot(C,B)^{-1}\cdot(C,B)\cdot(A,B)^{-1}\cdot(A,B)\cdot(A,B)^{-1}\\ &= (A,C)\cdot(B,C)^{-1}\cdot(B,A)\cdot(C,A)^{-1}\cdot(C,B)\cdot(A,B)^{-1}\\ &= \sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f,f).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f) = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}^*(A(H))}(f,f)/\theta^* = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$.

Note that $h_4 : C_9 \to H$ factors as $f \circ f'$, with $f' : C_9 \to C_3$ given by

$$(f'(0), f'(1), \ldots, f'(8)) = (0,1,0,1,2,1,2,0,2).$$

Therefore h_4 could be seen as an "unfolding" of f, which falls in the connected component of the constants. It is not clear whether a similar phenomenon always occurs.

Problem 6. *Let H be a graph, C_n an odd cycle and f a homomorphism in H^{C_n} such that $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}(f) = 1_{\mathcal{G}^*(H)}$. Does there exist an odd cycle C_m and a homomorphism $f' : C_m \to C_n$ such that $f \circ f'$ is in the connected component of a constant in H^{C_m}?*

If Problem 6 has an affirmative answer, then detecting the existence of a homomorphism of some $M_m(C') \in \mathcal{K}_4$ which admits a homomorphism is equivalent to finding an odd closed walk in H with trivial signature. We do not know of a feasible approach to the latter problem. However we will see that the Abelian relaxation of the problem is tractable.

Let γ be the commutator of $\mathcal{G}^*(H)$. The group $\mathcal{G}(H)$ is defined as $\mathcal{G}^*(H)/\gamma$, and the *abelian signature* of $f \in H^C$ is defined as $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}(H)}(f) \equiv \mathcal{G}^*(H)/\gamma$. Thus $\mathcal{G}(H) = \mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}/\theta$, where θ is generated by the relations

$$(u,v) - (w,v) + (w,x) - (u,x) \quad \theta \quad 0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}}.$$

such that u, v, w, x is a 4-cycle of H, and

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{G}(H)}(f) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{2n} (f(2i), g(2i+1)) - (f(2i+2), g(2i+1))\right)/\theta,$$

where g is any neighbour of f. As a consequence of Proposition 5, we have the following

Corollary 7. *Let C be an odd cycle and $f : C \to H$ a homomorphism. If f belongs to the connected component of the constants in H^C, then*

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{G}(H)}(f) = 0_{\mathcal{G}(H)}.$$

■

In the next section we see that the search for C and f that satisfy the conclusion of Corollary 7 is tractable.

4. The signature system of equations

Let H be a connected graph. To each arc (u, v) of H we associate an integer variable $X_{u,v}$. The *flow constraint* at a vertex u of H is the equation

$$\sum_{v \in N_H(u)} (X_{u,v} - X_{v,u}) = 0. \tag{1}$$

We also consider a *parity constraint* requiring that the sum of these variables is odd:

$$\sum_{(u,v) \in A(H)} X_{u,v} - 2N = 1. \tag{2}$$

Thus we introduce an additional integer variable N. Finally, the *signature constraint* is the equation

$$\left(\sum_{(u,v) \in A(H)} (X_{u,v} - X_{v,u}) \cdot (u, v) \right) / \theta = 0_{\mathcal{G}(H)}. \tag{3}$$

Note that while the flow and parity constraints are equations in $\mathbb{Z}$, the signature constraint is an equation in $\mathcal{G}(H)$. However it can be rewritten as a system of equations representing the coordinate of the vectors in the finitely generated abelian group $\mathcal{G}(H)$. For instance consider K_4 with vertex-set $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ as illustrated in figure 2.



Figure 2. K_4

The arcs $(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3)$ and $(0, 2)$ are denoted a, b, c, d respectively. For $e = (i, j)$, we will write e^- for (j, i). By definition of θ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(0,3)/\theta &= (a - b^- + c)/\theta, \\
(3,0)/\theta &= (c^- - b + a^-)/\theta, \\
(1,3)/\theta &= (b - d + (0,3))/\theta = (b - d + a - b^- + c)/\theta, \\
(3,1)/\theta &= (c^- - d + a)/\theta, \\
(2,0)/\theta &= (c - (1,3) + a^-)/\theta = (b^- - a + d - b + a^-)/\theta.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that for $B = \{a, a^-, b, b^-, c, c^-, d\}$, the natural homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^B$ to $\mathcal{G}(K_4)$ is an isomorphism, thus we can identify $\mathcal{G}(K_4)$ with $\mathbb{Z}^B$. Therefore the signature constraint on K_4 can be rewritten as

$$z_a \cdot a + z_{a^-} \cdot a^- + z_b \cdot b + z_{b^-} \cdot z^- + z_c \cdot c + z_{c^-} \cdot c^- + z_d \cdot d = 0,$$

which only has the trivial solution. In terms of the variables $X_{i,j}$, this yields the following system of equations.

$$\begin{aligned}
z_a = 0 &: (X_{0,1} - X_{1,0}) + (X_{0,3} - X_{3,0}) - (X_{2,0} - X_{0,2}) = 0,\\
z_{a^-} = 0 &: (X_{1,0} - X_{0,1}) + (X_{3,0} - X_{0,3}) + (X_{2,0} - X_{0,2}) = 0,\\
z_b = 0 &: (X_{1,2} - X_{2,1}) - (X_{3,0} - X_{0,3}) + (X_{1,3} - X_{3,1}) - (X_{2,0} - X_{0,2}) = 0,\\
z_{b^-} = 0 &: (X_{2,1} - X_{1,2}) - (X_{0,3} - X_{3,0}) - (X_{1,3} - X_{3,1}) + (X_{2,0} - X_{0,2}) = 0,\\
z_c = 0 &: (X_{2,3} - X_{3,2}) + (X_{0,3} - X_{3,0}) + (X_{1,3} - X_{3,1}) = 0,\\
z_{c^-} = 0 &: (X_{3,2} - X_{2,3}) + (X_{3,0} - X_{0,3}) + (X_{3,1} - X_{1,3}) = 0,\\
d = 0 &: 0 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The *signature system* of H is the system of linear equations consisting of the flow constraint (1) at every vertex of H, the parity constraint (2) and the signature constraint (3).

Proposition 8. *Let H be a connected graph. Then the signature system of H admits integers solutions if and only if there exists an odd cycle C and a homomorphism $f : C \to H$ such that $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}(H)}(f) = 0_{\mathcal{G}(H)}$.*

Proof. Let C be an odd cycle (with $V(C) = \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1}$) and $f : C \to H$ a homomorphism. Put $N = n$ and

$$X_{u,v} = |\{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n+1} : f(i) = u, f(i+1) = v\}|$$

for every arc $(u, v) \in A(H)$. Then the set of values $X_{u,v}, (u, v) \in A(H)$ and N satisfy the flow constraints (1) and the parity constraint (2). We have

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{G}(H)}(f) = \left(\sum_{(u,v)\in A(H)} (X_{u,v} - X_{v,u}) \cdot (u, v) \right) /\theta,$$

and this value is $0_{\mathcal{G}(H)}$ if and only if the signature constraint is satisfied, that is, $X_{u,v}, (u, v) \in A(H)$ and N are solutions to the signature system of H.

Conversely, let $X_{u,v}, (u, v) \in A(H)$ and N be an integer solution to the system. We first modify the solution by subtracting $\min\{X_{u,v}, X_{v,u}\}$ from both $X_{u,v}$ and $X_{v,u}$ for every edge $[u, v]$ of H and subtracting the sum of these minima from N. We now have a non-negative integer solution. We then add 1 to every variable $X_{u,v}, (u, v) \in A(H)$, and $|E(H)|$ to N. This yields a positive integer solution with connected support. Let G be the multidigraph with $V(G) = V(H)$ and $X_{u,v}$ parallel arcs connecting u to v for every $(u, v) \in A(H)$. Then G is connected Eulerian, and an Euler closed trail in G corresponds to a homomorphism $f : C \to H$ with $|V(C)| = 2N + 1$ and

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{G}(H)}(f) = \left(\sum_{(u,v)\in A(H)} (X_{u,v} - X_{v,u}) \cdot (u, v) \right) /\theta = 0_{\mathcal{G}(H)}.$$

■

For instance, let us return to the example of K_4 discussed above. It is easy to see that every homomorphism f of an odd cycle C to K_4 is at distance at most two from any constant in K_4^C, hence $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}(K_4)}(f) = 0_{\mathcal{G}(K_4)}$. Therefore the signature system of K_4 should not be that hard to solve. In fact we see that the condition $z_d = 0$ of the signature constraint is trivially satisfied. We recognize the flow

constraint at vertex 3 in the condition $z_{c^{-\alpha}} = 0$, and moreover all conditions of the signature constraint reduce to flow constraints with elementary manipulations. Thus the signature constraint is redundant on K_4, and every solution to the flow and parity constraints is a solution to the signature system.

By Corollary 3, Remark 4 and Corollary 7, Proposition 8 has the following consequence.

Corollary 9. *If the signature system of H has no integer solutions, then*

$$\operatorname{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \leq 3.$$

■

For instance, the seven-cycle C_7 with vertex-set $\mathbb{Z}_7$ shown in Figure 3 has no 4-cycles, thus $\mathcal{G}(C_7) = \mathbb{Z}^{A(C_7)}$. Therefore the signature constraint on C_7 implies that $X_{i,i+1} = X_{i+1,i}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$, which is incompatible with the parity constraint. By Corollary 9, this implies that $\operatorname{coind}(\mathrm{B}(C_7)) + 2 \leq 3$, which is a well-known fact.



FIGURE 3. Two applications of Corollary 9

The second graph H in Figure 3 is the "third relational power" of C_7 obtained by adding an edge between the extreme points of the 3-paths. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}(i,i+3)/\theta &= (i,i+1) - (i+2,i+1) + (i+2,i+3)/\theta,\\ (i+3,i)/\theta &= (i+3,i+2) - (i+1,i+2) + (i+1,i)/\theta\end{aligned}$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_7$. It is easy to check that the relations derived from the 4-cycles of the form $i, i+1, i+4, i+3$ are redundant. Therefore we again have $\mathcal{G}(H) = \mathbb{Z}^{A(C_7)}$. Hence the signature constraint on H is of the form

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_7} z_{i,i+1} \cdot (i,i+1) + \sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_7} z_{i+1,i} \cdot (i+1,i) = 0.$$

From it we get the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}z_{i,i+1} = 0 \quad : \quad & (X_{i,i+3} - X_{i+3,i}) + (X_{i-1,i+2} - X_{i+2,i-1})\\ & +(X_{i-2,i+1} - X_{i+1,i-2}) + (X_{i,i+1} - X_{i+1,i}) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Adding these for $i = 0, \ldots, 6$ we get

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_7} (3(X_{i,i+3} - X_{i+3,i}) + (X_{i,i+1} - X_{i+1,i})) = 0.$$

We then add the parity constraint to get

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_7} (4X_{i,i+3} - 2X_{i+3,i} + 2X_{i,i+1}) - 2N = 1,$$

which has no integer solutions. Therefore the signature system of H is inconsistent, and by Corollary 9, this implies that $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \leq 3$. (This is again a well-known fact.)

Our next example shows that the converse of Corollary 9 does not hold. We consider the graph $U(5,3)$ studied in [11, 12]. The vertices of $U(5,3)$ are the ordered pairs $(i,\{j,k\})$ such that $i,j,k \in \{1,\ldots,5\}$ and $i \notin \{j,k\}$. Two of these vertices $(i,\{j,k\})$, $(i',\{j',k'\})$ are joined by an edge if $i \in \{j',k'\}$ and $i' \in \{j,k\}$. In [11], Simonyi, Tardos and Vrećica proved that $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(U(5,3))) + 2 = 3$. Using a different topological bound, they also proved that $\chi(U(5,3)) = 4$.

$U(5,3)$ has 90 edges thus 180 arcs, and 105 4-cycles. With such larger graphs, it is useful to use a computer algebra package to ease computations. In [12], Zimmerman used the package Magma [1] to prove that $\mathcal{G}(U(5,3))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{71}$, and that the signature system of $U(5,3)$ admits integer solutions. In particular this shows that the converse of Corollary 9 does not hold.

The signature system of a graph H is an efficiently solvable system that gives information about $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H))$ when the system has no solution. Our next result shows that signature system still gives information about H even when it has a solution.

Proposition 10. *If the signature system of H has integer solutions, then $\chi(H) \geq 4$.*

We will prove Proposition 10 as a consequence of Proposition 11 of the next section. To summarize, the problem of determining whether a graph H satisfies $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \geq 3$ inspired the method of the signature system. In turn this method is an efficiently computable criterion to prove that $\chi(H) \geq 4$, that includes and expands the cases where $\mathrm{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \geq 3$. Also, this method can be generalised, as shown in the next section.

5. Generalised signature systems

A *valued digraph* (D,ϕ) is a directed graph D along with an integer valuation $\phi : A(D) \to \mathbb{Z}$ of its arcs. For a graph H, the *congruence generated by* (D,ϕ) is the congruence $\theta(D,\phi)$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}$ generated by the conditions

$$\left(\sum_{(u,v)\in A(D)} \phi(u,v)\cdot(f(u),f(v))\right)/\theta(D,\phi) = 0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}}/\theta(D,\phi)$$

for every homomorphism f of D to H.

In Figure 4, (D_1,ϕ_1) models our original congruence: $\theta = \theta(D_1,\phi_1)$. Note that homomorphisms of D_1 to H may collapse vertices. However this only gives the trivial condition $0 = 0$ in $\theta(D_1,\phi_1)$. However, collapsing D_2 on an edge $[u,v]$ of H gives the condition

$$2((u,v)+(v,u))/\theta(D_2,\phi_2) = 0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}}/\theta(D_2,\phi_2).$$

Thus $\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}/\theta(D_2,\phi_2)$ always has torsion. We have not encountered an example where $\mathcal{G}(H) = \mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}/\theta(D_1,\phi_1)$ has torsion, though we cannot prove that $\mathcal{G}(H)$ is always torsion-free.



FIGURE 4. Valued digraphs

Collapsing D_2 on two incident edges $[u,v]$, $[v,w]$ of H gives the condition

$$(u,v)+(v,u)\theta(D_2,\phi_2)(v,w)+(w,v).$$

By connectivity, the terms $(u,v)+(v,u)$ are then congruent for all edges $[u,v]$ of H (or on the edges of a connected component if H is not connected). Therefore on a genuine 4-cycle u,v,w,x of H, we have

$$(u,v)+(v,w)+(w,x)+(x,u)/\theta(D_2,\phi_2)=0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}}/\theta(D_2,\phi_2)$$

and

$$(v,w)+(w,v)+(x,u)+(u,x)/\theta(D_2,\phi_2)=0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}}/\theta(D_2,\phi_2).$$

Subtracting the second relation from the first, we get

$$(u,v)-(w,v)+(w,x)-(u,x)\theta(D_2,\phi_2)=0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}}/\theta(D_2,\phi_2).$$

Thus on any graph H we have $\theta(D_1,\phi_1)\subseteq\theta(D_2,\phi_2)$.

For a set $\mathcal{D}$ of valued digraphs, we put $\theta(\mathcal{D})=\vee_{(D,\phi)\in\mathcal{D}}\theta(D,\phi)$. For a graph H, the group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}(H)$ is defined as $\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}/\theta(\mathcal{D})$. The $\mathcal{D}$-signature constraint on integer variables $X_{u,v},(u,v)\in A(H)$ is the condition

$$\left(\sum_{(u,v)\in A(H)}(X_{u,v}-X_{v,u})\cdot(u,v)\right)/\theta(\mathcal{D})=0_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}(H)}, \tag{4}$$

and the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system is the system consisting of the flow constraints (1), the parity constraint (2) and the $\mathcal{D}$-signature constraint (4).

Proposition 11. *Let H, H' be graphs such that there exists a homomorphism $\psi: H\to H'$. If the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system has integer solutions on H, then it has integer solutions on H'.*

Proof. First note that $\hat{\psi}: A(H)\to A(H')$ defined by $\hat{\psi}(u,v)=(\psi(u),\psi(v))$ extends to a group homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{A(H')}$, which we also denote $\hat{\psi}$. We will show that $\hat{\psi}$ maps $0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}}/\theta(\mathcal{D})$ to $0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H')}}/\theta(\mathcal{D})$. Indeed any generator of $0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}}/\theta(\mathcal{D})$ is of the form $\sum_{(u,v)\in A(D)}\phi(u,v)\cdot(f(u),f(v))$, where (D,ϕ) is in $\mathcal{D}$ and f is a homomorphism of D in H. It is mapped by ψ to $\sum_{(u,v)\in A(D)}\phi(u,v)\cdot(\psi\circ f(u),\psi\circ f(v))$. The latter is in $0_{\mathbb{Z}^{A(H')}}/\theta(\mathcal{D})$, since $\psi\circ f$ is a homomorphism of D to H'. Therefore $\hat{\psi}$ induces a group homomorphism of $\mathbb{Z}^{A(H)}/\theta(\mathcal{D})=\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}(H)$ to $\mathbb{Z}^{A(H')}/\theta(\mathcal{D})=\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}(H')}$, which we again call $\hat{\psi}$.

Now suppose that $X_{u,v},(u,v)\in A(H)$ and N are solutions to the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system of H. For $(u',v')\in A(H')$, put

$$X'_{u',v'}=\sum\{X_{u,v}:\psi(u,v)=u' \text{ and } \psi(v)=v'\}.$$

Claim 1. $X'_{u',v'}, (u',v') \in A(H')$ and N satisfy the flow constraint (1) on H'.

Indeed for $u' \in V(H')$ we have

$$\sum_{v' \in N_{H'}(u')} (X'_{u',v'} - X'_{v',u'}) = \sum_{u \in \psi^{-1}(u')} \sum_{v \in N_H(u)} (X_{u,v} - X_{v,u}) = 0.$$

Claim 2. $X'_{u',v'}, (u',v') \in A(H')$ and N satisfy the parity constraint (2) on H'.

Indeed we have

$$\sum_{(u',v') \in A(H')} X'_{u',v'} - 2N = \sum_{(u,v) \in A(H)} X_{u,v} - 2N = 1.$$

Claim 3. $X'_{u',v'}, (u',v') \in A(H')$ and N satisfy the $\mathcal{D}$-signature constraint (4) on H'.

Indeed since

$$\left(\sum_{(u,v) \in A(H)} (X_{u,v} - X_{v,u}) \cdot (u,v) \right) / \theta(\mathcal{D}) = 0_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}(H)}$$

and $\hat{\psi}$ is a group homomorphism, we have

$$\left(\sum_{(u,v) \in A(H)} (X_{u,v} - X_{v,u}) \cdot (\psi(u), \psi(v)) \right) / \theta(\mathcal{D}) = 0_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}(H')}.$$

Regrouping preimages we get

$$\left(\sum_{(u',v') \in A(H')} (X'_{u',v'} - X'_{v',u'}) \cdot (u',v') \right) / \theta(\mathcal{D}) = 0_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{D}}(H')}.$$

Claims 1, 2 and 3 prove that $X'_{u',v'}, (u',v') \in A(H')$ and N are integer solutions to the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system on H'.

■

The usefulness of Proposition 11 resides in the cases where it can be shown that the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system has no solutions on a fixed target graph H'. The $\mathcal{D}$-signature system then provides a criterion for the existence of a homomorphism of an input graph H to H'. In the case of Proposition 10 of the previous section, we have $H' = K_3$ and $\theta(\mathcal{D}) = \theta$.

Proof of Proposition 10.

Since K_3 has no 4-cycles, $\mathcal{G}(K_3) = \mathbb{Z}^{A(K_3)}$. Therefore the signature constraint (3)

$$\left(\sum_{(u',v') \in A(K_3)} (X'_{u',v'} - X'_{v',u'}) \cdot (u',v') \right) / \theta = 0_{\mathcal{G}(K_3)}$$

implies $X'_{u',v'} = X'_{v',u'}$ for all $(u',v') \in A(K_3)$. We then have $\sum_{(u',v') \in A(H')} X'_{u',v'}$ even, which is incompatible with the parity constraint (2). Therefore the signature system has no integer solutions on K_3. Therefore by Proposition 11, if the signature system has integer solutions on a graph H, then H admits no homomorphism to K_3, whence $\chi(H) \geq 4$.

■

The signature system was used to prove that the second graph H of Figure 3 satisfies $\text{coind}(\text{B}(H)) + 2 \leq 3$. We now use $\mathcal{D} = \{(D_2, \phi_2), (D_3, \phi_3)\}$ to prove that $\chi(H) \geq 4$.

Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be the three clockwise arcs in a triangle of H. The conguence generated by (D_3, ϕ_3) implies

$$e_1/\theta(\mathcal{D}) = -e_2/\theta(\mathcal{D}) = e_3/\theta(\mathcal{D}) = -e_1/\theta(\mathcal{D}).$$

Thus e_1, e_2 and e_3 are all congruent to the same element of order 2 in $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(H)$. Extending the argument to all triangles in H, we get that all the arcs $(i, i+1)$ and $(i, i+3)$ are congruent to the same element of order 2 in $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(H)$ and similarly all the arcs $(i+1, i)$ and $(i+3, i)$ are congruent to the same element of order 2 in $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(H)$. Now since $\mathcal{D}$ contains (D_2, ϕ_2), we get

$$\left((0,1) + (1,2) + (2,3) + (3,0)\right)/\theta(\mathcal{D}) = 0_{\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(H)}.$$

Therefore $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(H) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2$, with all arcs congruent to the non-zero element. The $\mathcal{D}$-signature constraint on H then reduces to the trivial condition $0 = 0$. Any odd cycle corresponds to a solution to the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system on H, so this system admits non-trivial solutions on H.

However, $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(K_3) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, with $(0,1), (1,2), (2,0)$ congruent to a non-zero element a of $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(K_3)$ and $(0,2), (2,1), (1,0)$ congruent to another non-zero element b of $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(K_3)$. The $\mathcal{D}$-signature constraint on K_3 is

$$\left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_3}(X_{i,i+1} - X_{i+1,i})\right)\cdot a + \left(\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_3}(X_{i+1,i} - X_{i,i+1})\right)\cdot b \quad = \quad 0_{\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(H)}.$$

It is satisfied only when $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_3}(X_{i,i+1} - X_{i+1,i})$ is even, and this is incompatible with the parity constraint. Thus the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system has no solution on K_3. Therefore H admits no homomorphism to K_3, hence $\chi(H) \geq 4$.

We note that generalised signature systems always win the day, albeit in a trivial way:

Remark 12. *Let H, H' be graphs such that H is not bipartite and there is no homomorphism of H to H'. Then there exists a set $\mathcal{D}$ of valued digraphs such that the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system admits solutions on H but not on H'.*

Proof. Put $\mathcal{D} = \{(H, \phi)\}$, where ϕ has value 1 on the forward arcs of an odd cycle C of H, -1 on the backward arcs of C and 0 elsewhere. Then C corresponds to a solution to the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system on H, while $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(H') = \mathbb{Z}^{A(H')}$ so that the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system has no solution on H'. ∎

However, generalised signature systems can be said to be efficient only with $\mathcal{D}$ fixed and H variable. However the model could deviate even further from the topological problem that inspired it: signature constraint could be modified to any condition of the form

$$\left(\sum_{(u,v)\in A(H)} pX_{u,v} + qX_{v,u})\cdot(u,v)\right)/\theta(\mathcal{D}) = 0_{\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{D}(H)} \tag{5}$$

with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, and Claim 3 of the proof of Proposition 11 would remain valid. Likewise the parity constraint could be replaced by any condition of the form

$$\sum_{(u,v)\in A(H)} X_{u,v} - pN = q, \tag{6}$$

and Claim 2 of the proof of Proposition 11 would remain valid. Consider a system with an arbitrary set of such constraints, perhaps involving many different sets $\mathcal{D}_i$ of valued graphs and many variables for each arc. If such a system is solvable on H and H admits a homomorphism to H', then the system is solvable on H'.

Nonetheless, with all these generalisations available, there remains work to be done. It is easy to see that the signature system admits solutions on any 4-chromatic generalised Mycielski graph. Therefore by Proposition 10, we have $\chi(G) \geq 4$ for all $G \in \mathcal{K}_4$. By Lemma 2 this implies $\chi(B_{k,\epsilon}) \geq 4$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. This is a proof of the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem for the 2-sphere. It would be interesting to know whether generalised signature systems can be used to prove all of the Borsuk-Ulam theorem by graph-theoretic methods. Perhaps the next step would be to settle the following.

Problem 13. *Does there exist a set $\mathcal{D}$ of valued digraphs such that if the $\mathcal{D}$-signature system is solvable on a graph H, then $\chi(H) \geq 5$, and otherwise* $\operatorname{coind}(\mathrm{B}(H)) + 2 \leq 4$*?*

References

[1] W. Bosma, J. Cannon, and C. Playoust, The Magma algebra system. I. The user language, J. Symbolic Comput. 24(3-4) (1997), 235–265. Computational algebra and number theory (London, 1993).

[2] P. Csorba, Fold and Mycielskian on homomorphism complexes, Contrib. Discrete Math. 3 (2008), 1–8.

[3] P. Csorba, On the simple $\mathbb{Z}_2$-homotopy types of graph complexes and their simple $\mathbb{Z}_2$-universality, Canad. Math. Bull. 51 (2008), 535–544.

[4] A. Dochtermann, C. Schultz, Topology of Hom complexes and test graphs for bounding chromatic number, Israel J. Math. 187 (2012), 371–417.

[5] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, On chromatic graphs, Mat. Lapok 18 (1967), 1–4.

[6] P. Hell, J. Nešetřil Graphs and homomorphisms, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 28, Oxford University Press, 2004.

[7] L. Lovász, Kneser's conjecture, chromatic number, and homotopy, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 25 (1978), 319–324.

[8] J. Matoušek, *Using the Borsuk-Ulam theorem*, Lectures on topological methods in combinatorics and geometry, Written in cooperation with Anders Björner and Günter M. Ziegler, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003. xii+196 pp.

[9] J, Matoušek, G. Ziegler, Topological lower bounds for the chromatic number: a hierarchy, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 106 (2004), 71–90.

[10] G. Simonyi, G. Tardos, Local chromatic number, Ky Fan's theorem, and circular colorings, Combinatorica 26 (2006), 587–626.

[11] G. Simonyi, G. Tardos, S. Vrećica, Local chromatic number and distinguishing the strength of topological obstructions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), 889–908.

[12] S. Zimmerman, Topological and Algebraic Lower Bounds on the Chromatic Number of Graphs, M. Sc. Thesis, Queen's University, 2014.

Royal Military College of Canada, PO Box 17000 Stn Forces, Kingston, ON, Canada, K7K 7B4